

Attorney's Docket No. NZ010
Amendment

Serial No. 10/614,527
May 23, 2006

Please amend the claims as follows:

CLAIMS

1. (Currently Amended) A method for a ~~primary application to verify~~ verifying the integrity of a secondary application including the steps of:
 - i. obtaining a reference reduced representation by:
 - A. applying a process to obtain schema metadata from the secondary application;
 - B. creating a reference reduced representation of the first obtained schema metadata using an algorithm; and
 - C. storing the reference reduced representation;
 - ii. during execution of the primary application, applying the process to obtain the schema metadata from the secondary application;
 - iii. creating a second reduced representation of the second obtained schema metadata using the algorithm;
 - iv. comparing the reference reduced representation and the second reduced representation; and
 - v. controlling execution of the primary application dependent on the outcome of the comparison.
2. (Currently Amended) ~~A~~ The method as claimed in claim 1 wherein the secondary application is a database.
3. (Currently Amended) ~~A~~ The method as claimed in claim 2, wherein the schema metadata is selected from the set of tables, columns in tables, datatypes of columns, lengths of columns, custom database data types, foreign keys, constraints, stored procedures, views, triggers, indices, and scheduled jobs.
4. (Currently Amended) ~~A~~ The method as claimed in claim 2, wherein the algorithm is a hash function.

Attorney's Docket No. NZ010
Amendment

Serial No. 10/614,527
May 23, 2006

5. (Currently Amended) ~~A~~ The method as claimed in claim 3, wherein the hash function is one selected from the set of MD5 and CRC32.
6. (Currently Amended) ~~A~~ The method as claimed in claim 2, wherein the algorithm is a lossless compression algorithm.
7. (Currently Amended) ~~A~~ The method as claimed in claim 6, wherein the lossless compression algorithm is one selected from the set of zip, gzip, and bzip2.
8. (Currently Amended) ~~A~~ The method as claimed in claim 2, wherein the reference reduced representation is stored by embedding the representation within the primary application.
9. (Currently Amended) ~~A~~ The method as claimed in claim 2, wherein the reference reduced representation is stored by embedding the representation within configuration files for the primary application.
10. (Currently Amended) ~~A~~ The method as claimed in claim 2, wherein step (i) is repeated before steps (ii) to (v) at least one time when an expected change occurs to the schema metadata in the database.
11. (Currently Amended) ~~A~~ The method as claimed in claim 2, wherein the process includes organizing the extracted schema metadata using a nested and determinable method.
12. (Currently Amended) ~~A~~ The method as claimed in claim 11, wherein the nested and determinable method is by alphabetical listing of the schema metadata elements.

Attorney's Docket No. NZ010
Amendment

Serial No. 10/614,527
May 23, 2006

13. (Currently Amended) ~~A~~ The method as claimed in claim 11, wherein the nested and determinable method is by default database order of the schema metadata elements.
14. (Currently Amended) ~~A~~ The method as claimed in claim 11, wherein the nested and determinable method is by creation date order of the schema metadata elements.
15. (Currently Amended) ~~A~~ The method as claimed in claim 11, wherein the nested and determinable method is by table owner of the schema metadata elements.
16. (Currently Amended) ~~A~~ The method as claimed in claim 2, wherein the execution of the primary application is controlled by halting execution of the primary application.
17. (Currently Amended) ~~A~~ The method as claimed in claim 2, wherein the execution of the primary application is controlled by the primary application sending an error message to one selected from the set of a user of the primary application, a manager of the primary application, a manager of the database, and the database.
18. (Currently Amended) ~~A~~ The method as claimed in claim 2, including the step of:
 - i. requesting a schema stability lock of the database.
19. (Currently Amended) ~~A~~ The method as claimed in claim 2, wherein the process obtains all available schema metadata.
20. (Currently Amended) ~~A~~ The method as claimed in claim 2, wherein the process only obtains the schema metadata which would affect the primary application if that schema metadata were to change.

Attorney's Docket No. NZ010
Amendment

Serial No. 10/614,527
May 23, 2006

21. (Currently Amended) ~~A~~ The method as claimed in claim 2, wherein the process utilizes SQL92 standard to obtain the schema metadata from the database.
22. (Currently Amended) ~~A~~ The method as claimed in claim 2, wherein the process utilizes the database's API to obtain the schema metadata from the database.
23. (Currently Amended) ~~A~~ The method as claimed in claim 22, wherein the database's API is a Java database JDBC API.
24. (Currently Amended) A system for verifying ~~for a plurality of applications~~ the integrity of one or more databases including:
 - i. a plurality of applications adapted to store a plurality of previously calculated reduced representations of schema metadata for one or more databases, to extract a plurality of schema metadata from one or more databases, to newly calculate a plurality of reduced representations from the plurality of extracted schema metadata, and to compare each of plurality of previously calculated reduced representations with its corresponding newly calculated reduced representation; and
 - ii. one or more databases adapted to receive requests for schema metadata from the plurality of applications and to transmit schema metadata to the plurality of applications.
25. (Currently Amended) ~~A~~ The system as claimed in claim 24, wherein the schema metadata is selected from the set of tables, columns in tables, datatypes of columns, lengths of columns, custom database data types, foreign keys, constraints, stored procedures, views, triggers, indices, and scheduled jobs.
26. (Currently Amended) ~~A~~ The system as claimed in claim 24, wherein the reduced representations are calculated using a hash function.

Attorney's Docket No. NZ010
Amendment

Serial No. 10/614,527
May 23, 2006

27. (Currently Amended) ~~A~~ The system as claimed in claim 26, wherein the hash function is one selected from the set of MD5 and CRC32.
28. (Currently Amended) ~~A~~ The system as claimed in claim 24, wherein reduced representations are calculated using a lossless compression algorithm.
29. (Currently Amended) ~~A~~ The system as claimed in claim 28, wherein the lossless compression algorithm is one selected from the set of zip, gzip, and bzip2.
30. (Currently Amended) ~~A~~ The system as claimed in claim 24, wherein each previously calculated reduced representation is stored by embedding the representation within its associated application.
31. (Currently Amended) ~~A~~ The system as claimed in claim 24, wherein each previously calculated reduced representation is stored by embedding the representation within configuration files for its associated application.
32. (Currently Amended) ~~A~~ The system as claimed in claim 24, wherein each schema metadata is organized using a nested and determinable method before its reduced representation is calculated.
33. (Currently Amended) ~~A~~ The system as claimed in claim 32, wherein the nested and determinable method is by alphabetical listing of the schema metadata elements.
34. (Currently Amended) ~~A~~ The system as claimed in claim 32, wherein the nested and determinable method is by default database order of the schema metadata elements.

Attorney's Docket No. NZ010
Amendment

Serial No. 10/614,527
May 23, 2006

35. (Currently Amended) ~~A~~ The system as claimed in claim 32, wherein the nested and determinable method is by creation date order of the schema metadata elements.
36. (Currently Amended) ~~A~~ The system as claimed in claim 32, wherein the nested and determinable method is by table owner of the schema metadata elements.
37. (Currently Amended) ~~A~~ The system as claimed in claim 24, wherein the result of each comparison controls execution of its associated application
38. (Currently Amended) ~~A~~ The system as claimed in claim 37, wherein the execution of the application is controlled by halting execution of the application.
39. (Currently Amended) ~~A~~ The system as claimed in claim 37, wherein the execution of the application is controlled by the application sending an error message to one selected from the set of a user of the application, a manager of the application, a manager of the associated database, and the associated database.
40. (Currently Amended) ~~A~~ The system as claimed in claim 24, wherein the plurality of applications are further adapted to request a schema stability lock of the one or more databases.
41. (Currently Amended) ~~A~~ The system as claimed in claim 24, wherein each application is adapted to extract all available schema metadata from each database.
42. (Currently Amended) ~~A~~ The system as claimed in claim 24, wherein each application is adapted to extract the schema metadata which would affect the application if that schema metadata were to change.

Attorney's Docket No. NZ010
Amendment

Serial No. 10/614,527
May 23, 2006

43. (Currently Amended) ~~A~~ The system as claimed in claim 24, wherein each application is adapted to utilize SQL92 standard to extract the schema metadata from each database.
44. (Currently Amended) ~~A~~ The system as claimed in claim 24, wherein each application is adapted to utilize the database's API to extract the schema metadata from each database.
45. (Currently Amended) ~~A~~ The system as claimed in claim 44 wherein the database's API is a Java database JDBC API.
46. (Currently Amended) A system for verifying ~~for an application~~ the integrity of a database including:
- i. an application;
 - ii. a stored reduced representation of schema metadata of a database; and
 - iii. a verification engine which upon connection to a database obtains a reduced representation of schema metadata from the database and compares it with the stored reduced representation to control the application.
47. (Currently Amended) ~~A~~ The system as claimed in claim 46, wherein the schema metadata is selected from the set of tables, columns in tables, datatypes of columns, lengths of columns, custom database data types, foreign keys, constraints, stored procedures, views, triggers, indices, and scheduled jobs.
48. (Currently Amended) ~~A~~ The system as claimed in claim 46, wherein the reduced representations are calculated using a hash function.
49. (Currently Amended) ~~A~~ The system as claimed in claim 46, wherein the stored reduced representation is stored by embedding the representation within the application.

Attorney's Docket No. NZ010
Amendment

Serial No. 10/614,527
May 23, 2006

50. (Currently Amended) ~~A~~ The system as claimed in claim 48, wherein each schema metadata is organized using a nested and determinable method before its reduced representation is calculated.
51. (Currently Amended) ~~A~~ The system as claimed in claim 46, wherein the application is controlled by halting execution of the application.
52. (Currently Amended) ~~A~~ The system as claimed in claim 46, wherein the application is controlled by the application sending an error message to one selected from the set of a user of the application, a manager of the application, a manager of the associated database, and the associated database.
53. (Original) A computer system for effecting the method of claim 1.
54. (Original) Software for effecting the method of claim 1.
55. (Original) Storage media containing software as claimed in claim 54.